LECT. 8 CONVERGENCE, LIMIT LAWS, CB 5.1–5.3

Last time we looked at the mean and variance of two independent random variables $X$ and $Y$:

$$E[X + Y] = E[X] + E[Y],$$

and

$$V(X + Y) = V(X) + V(Y).$$

More generally, if $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ are $N$ independent random variables, we have mean

$$E\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E[X_i],$$

and variance

$$V\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} V(X_i).$$

Note that we only use the independence for the variance. Without independence the mean is unchanged, but the variance becomes

$$V\left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right) = E\left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i - E\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right] \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= E\left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i - E[X_i]) \right)^2 \right] = E\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (X_i - E[X_i]) \cdot (X_j - E[X_j]) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} E\left[ (X_i - E[X_i]) \cdot (X_j - E[X_j]) \right]$$
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{N} V(X_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} C(X_i, X_j). \]

Independence insures that all the covariance terms in the double sum are equal to zero.

Now suppose that all random variables are independent and have the same mean \( \mu \) and variance \( \sigma^2 \). Then the sum has mean

\[ E\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right] = N \cdot \mu, \]

and variance

\[ V\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right] = N \cdot \sigma^2. \]

The mean and variance of the average are

\[ E\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i/N \right] = \mu, \]

and

\[ V\left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i/N \right] = N \cdot \sigma^2/N^2 = \sigma^2/N. \]

Now consider the behavior of the average of the first \( N \) random variables, \( \bar{X}_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i/N \) as \( N \) gets large. In that case the variance of the sample average gets smaller and smaller. Using Chebyshev’s inequality, this implies that the probability that the sample average is more than \( \varepsilon \) away from \( \mu \) can be made arbitrarily small by taking \( N \) large enough: Fix \( \varepsilon \). Then

\[ Pr(\{|\bar{X}_N - \mu| > \varepsilon\}) < \sigma^2/(N \cdot \varepsilon^2), \]

which can be made arbitrarily small for fixed \( \varepsilon \) by choosing \( N \) large enough. It would seem uncontroversial to say that in this case \( \bar{X}_N \) converges to \( \mu \).
In other cases this is not so clear. Consider the following sequence of random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ with the pdf of $X_n$ equal to

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
(n - 1)/2 & -1/n, x < 1/n, \\
1/n & n < x < n + 1, \\
0 & \text{elsewhere}
\end{cases}$$

The mean of $x_n$ is $1 + 1/2n$. The variance increases with $n$ and approaches infinity as $n$ goes to infinity. However, the probability that $X_n$ is more than $\varepsilon$ away from zero is at most $1/n$. Does $x_n$ converge to 0? Does $x_n$ converge to its asymptotic mean of 1?

This example demonstrates the need for different concepts of convergence. We consider three such concepts.

**Definition 1** A sequence of random variables $X_n$ converges to $\mu$ in **probability** if for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Pr(|x_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

**Definition 2** A sequence of random variables $X_n$ converges to $\mu$ in **quadratic mean** if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E[(x_n - \mu)^2] = 0.$$

**Definition 3** A sequence of random variables $X_n$ converges to $\mu$ **almost surely** if for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(\lim_{n \to \infty} |X_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

Note that in the first example the convergence is clearly in quadratic mean and probability. The independence implies it is also convergence almost surely. The following relations hold between the different convergence concepts:

(i) Convergence in quadratic mean implies convergence in probability.

(ii) Convergence almost surely implies convergence in probability.
(iii) Convergence in quadratic mean does not imply, and is not implied by, convergence almost surely.

Let us consider an example of the difference between convergence in quadratic mean and convergence almost surely. Consider the following sequence of random variables, defined as $X_n(\omega)$, for $\omega \in \Omega = [0, 1]$, and with the probability of $\omega$ in some interval $a, b$ equal to $b - a$ for $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$:

- $X_1(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [0, 1]$ and zero elsewhere,
- $X_2(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [0, 1/2]$ and zero elsewhere,
- $X_3(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [1/2, 1/2 + 1/3]$ and zero elsewhere,
- $X_4(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [1/2 + 1/3, 1] \cup [0, 1/12]$ and zero elsewhere,
- $X_4(\omega) = 1$ for $\omega \in [1/2 + 1/3, 1] \cup [1/12, 1/12 + 1/5]$ and zero elsewhere.

For $X_n(\omega)$ the intervals where $X_n(\omega)$ is equal to one have length $1/n$, and therefore probability $1/n$. They shift to the right till they hit 1, and then start over again at 0. Clearly the mean is $1/n$, and the variance is $1/n - 1/n^2$, both of which go to zero, so we have convergence to zero in quadratic mean and probability. Now consider for a particular value of $\omega$ the sequence of values $X_1(\omega), X_2(\omega)$. Does this sequence converge? No – no matter how large $n$, the sum $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 1/i$ diverges, implying that the sequence is always going to return to 1. Hence the probability of an $\omega$ such that the limit $X_n(\omega)$ even exists, let alone that it equals zero, is zero, and not one as required by almost sure convergence.

With these convergence concepts we can formulate laws of large numbers.

**Result 1** Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$. Let $X_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i/N$ be the average up to the $N$th random variable. Then

$$\bar{X}_N \xrightarrow{qm} \mu.$$
The proof is straightforward: the variance of $X_N$ is $\sigma^2/N$ which goes to zero. The result implies convergence in probability as well, as we already showed using Chebyshev’s inequality.

A second result gives an even stronger for almost sure convergence. In this case convergence in quadratic mean does not necessarily hold because the variance does not necessarily exist.

**Result 2** Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common mean $\mu$. Let $\bar{X}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ be the average up to the $N$th random variable. Then

$$\bar{X}_N \overset{a.s.}{\to} \mu.$$

The pages from Feller give a proof of convergence in probability for this case without the assumption of a finite variance. For the next set of results we need an additional mode of convergence:

**Definition 4** A sequence of random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ converges in distribution to a random variable $Y$ if at all continuity points of $F_Y(y)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{X_n}(y) = F_Y(y).$$

The restriction to continuity points is for the following reason: suppose

$$f_{X_n}(x) = n, \text{ for } 0 < x < 1/n,$$

and zero elsewhere. The value of $F_{X_n}(0)$ is zero for all $n$, but $X_n$ converges in distribution to a random variable $Y$ with $F_Y(0) = 1 \neq \lim_{n \to \infty} F_{X_n}(0)$.

If a random variable converges in distribution to a (degenerate) random variable with all mass in a single point $\mu$, the random variable converges to $\mu$ in probability, and vice versa.

Now we can consider central limit theorems:
**Result 3** Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with moment generating function $M_X(t)$ in a neighbourhood of zero, and with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( X_i - \mu \right) / \sigma = \sqrt{N} \cdot (\bar{X}_N - \mu) / \sigma \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

That is, the normalized sum converges to a standard normal distribution. The proof below exploits the existence of the moment generating function, although this is not necessary: the result also holds with just the existence of the mean and variance. Also we do not need identically distributed random variables, or even independent ones. These assumptions can all be weakened at some expense.

**proof:**
Define $Y_i = (X_i - \mu) / \sigma$. Then $M_Y'(0) = E[Y] = 0$, and $M_Y''(0) = V(Y) + E[Y]^2 = 1$. Also,

$$Z_N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( X_i - \mu \right) / \sigma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i.$$

The moment generating function of $Z_N$ is

$$M_{Z_N}(t) = E \left[ \exp \left( t \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_i / \sqrt{N} \right) \right] = E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp(tY_i / \sqrt{N}) \right].$$

By independence this is equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{N} E[\exp(tY_i / \sqrt{N})] = \prod_{i=1}^{N} M_{Y_i}(t / \sqrt{N}) = M_Y(t / \sqrt{N})^N.$$

Using a Taylor series expansion for $M_Y(t / \sqrt{N})$ around zero we get

$$M_Y(t / \sqrt{N}) = M_Y(0) + M_Y'(0)t / \sqrt{N} + M_Y''(\tilde{t})t^2 / (2N),$$

for some $\tilde{t}$ between $t / \sqrt{N}$ and zero. Because $M_Y(0) = 1$ and $M_Y'(0) = 0$, we have

$$M_Y(t / \sqrt{N})^N = (1 + M_Y''(\tilde{t})t^2 / (2N))^N.$$
Taking the limit as $N \to \infty$ we get $\tilde{t} \to 0$, and therefore $M_Y''(\tilde{t}) \to M_Y''(0) = 1$, and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} M_Y(t/\sqrt{N})^N = \exp(t^2/2).$$

This is the moment generating function for a standard normal random variable, which completes the proof.

The next set of results are known as Slutsky’s theorem:

**Result 4** If $X_n$ converges in distribution to $X$, and $Y_n$ converges in probability to a constant $c$, then

$$X_n \cdot Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c \cdot X,$$

and

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c + X.$$

If in addition $c \neq 0$,

$$X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c.$$

The final result is known as the delta method:

**Result 5** If a sequence of random variables $X_n$ satisfies

$$\sqrt{N}(X_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

then for any function $g(\cdot)$ continuously differentiable in a neighbourhood of $\mu$ with derivative $g'(\mu)$,

$$\sqrt{N}(g(X_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, g'(\mu)^2 \cdot \sigma^2).$$
Proof:
First, it is clear that $X_n \rightarrow \mu$ in probability. If the probability that $|X_n - \mu| > \varepsilon$ can be made arbitrarily small, it must be the case that the probability that $|g(X_n) - g(\mu)| > \varepsilon$ can be made arbitrarily small, and hence $g(X_n) \rightarrow g(\mu)$ in probability. Now linearize $g(X_n)$ around $\mu$ to get

$$g(X_n) = g(\mu) + (X_n - \mu) \cdot g'(\tilde{X}_n).$$

Clearly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $g'(\tilde{X}_n) \rightarrow g'(\mu)$, and $g(X_n) - g(\mu) \approx (X_n - \mu) \cdot g'(\mu)$. □

Consider the following example. Using a central limit theorem we might find that

$$\sqrt{N}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2).$$

Then we can use the delta method to conclude that

$$\sqrt{N}((\bar{X})^2 - \mu^2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 4\mu^2\sigma^2).$$